UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/689,510 | 10/21/2003 | Eugenio Cruz Garcia | 43157.03.0205 | 8656 | |
| 88944 Vedder Price P. | 7590 12/05/201 C . | 1 | EXAMINER | | |
| 1401 I Street N | W | CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E | | | |
| Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| <i>C</i> , | | | 3633 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 12/05/2011 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/689,510 | GARCIA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | JEANETTE E. CHAPMAN | 3633 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | 5 | | | | | | |
| 1) | \boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>the te</i> | erminal disclaimer filed 7/25/11 | | | | | |
| 2a) | · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · . | | | set forth during the | e interview on | | | |
| 0) | An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. | | | | | | |
| 4) | 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| ' / 1 | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> | · | | | | | |
| Diana | | x parte quayre, rece e.s. 11, 10 | 30 3.4.210. | | | | |
| | sition of Claims — | | | | | | |
| 6) 7) 8) | 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priori | ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachr | nent(s) | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/25 & 8/10/11. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3633

The terminal disclaimer filed 7/25/22 was not approved because the filing date for the instant application is incorrect; it should be 10/21/03. Therefore, the double patenting rejection is being maintained as stated below and this action is final.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 3633

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-62 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over all claim claims of U.S. Patent No. 's 7836649 and 7836648. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are only a colourable variation of the claims in patents '648 and '649. The claims of patents '649 and 648 recite side surfaces and the instant application recites perimeter; the application recited edge patterns and the patents recite upper surface along a portion of the of the side surfaces; the patents recite decorative motif and sub-panels and the application recite bulk patterns adjacent the edge pattern and proximate at least one bulk patterns; the patents recite flooring planks and the application recite planks.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEANETTE E. CHAPMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,510 Page 4

Art Unit: 3633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JEANETTE E CHAPMAN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3633